

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/914,451	12/20/2001	Jesper Z. Haeggstrom	PVZ-006US	4167
959	7590 07/14/2005		EXAMINER	
	COCKFIELD, LLP.	ODELL, LINDSAY T		
28 STATE STREET BOSTON, MA 02109			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
:			1656	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/914,451	HAEGGSTROM ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Lindsay Odell	1656			
- The MAILING DATE of this communication app	<u> </u>				
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 D	ecember 2004.				
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	•			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•				
<ul> <li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li> <li>1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>		)-(d) or (f).			
2. Certified copies of the priority document	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	on No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage			
application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
<ol> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 1656

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## **Application Status**

1. In response to the previous Office action, a notice of non-compliance with the sequence rules (mailed on July 1, 2004), Applicants filed a response received on December 28, 2004.

Claims 1-35 are pending in this instant Office action.

## Sequence Compliance

2. The Office action mailed on July 1, 2004, asserted that the instant application was not in compliance with the sequence rules because the pending claims and Tables 5-7 contain amino acid sequences that contain 4 or more amino acids without the appropriate sequence listing identification. In the response filed on December 28, 2004 Applicants assert that Tables 5-7 do not require a sequence listing because the tables do not contain sequences of four or more amino acids, but a single amino acids position that can be mutated to various different amino acids. The Examiner agrees with Applicant that the sequences in Tables 5-7 of the instant application do not require a sequence listing; however, Table 9, which is referred to in the pending claims, contains a linear amino acid sequence disclosed as part of the coordinate table without SEQ ID NO identification.

If the noted sequence in Table 9 is in the sequence listing as filed, Applicants must amend the specification to identify the sequence appropriately by SEQ ID NO. If the noted sequence is not in the sequence listing as filed, Applicants must provide (1) a substitute copy of the sequence listing in both computer readable form (CRF) and paper copy, (2) an amendment

Application/Control Number: 09/914,451

Art Unit: 1656

directing its entry into the specification, (3) a statement that the content of the paper and CRF copies are the same and, where applicable, include no new matter as required by 37 C.F.R. § 1.821 (e) or 1.821(f) or 1.821(g) or 1.821(b) or 1.825(d), and (4) any amendment to the specification to identify the sequences appropriately by SEQ ID NO.

#### Restriction

3. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-5, 9-10 and 35, drawn to isolated proteins comprising at least a subsequence of the amino acid sequence of LTA<sub>4</sub> hydrolase as disclosed in Table 9.

Group II, claim(s) 6-8 and 25, drawn to compounds that are complementary to the LTA<sub>4</sub> hydrolase described in Table 9.

Group III, claim(s) 11-15, drawn to methods of using the parameter of the protein described in Table 9 (LTA<sub>4</sub> hydrolase) in drug design.

Group IV, claim(s) 11-15, drawn to methods of using the parameters of the compound complementary to the protein described in Table 9 (LTA<sub>4</sub> hydrolase) in drug design.

Group V, claim(s) 16-18, drawn to methods for screening LTA<sub>4</sub> hydrolase analogues.

Group VI, claim(s) 19-20, 30-31 and 35, drawn to analogues of LTA<sub>4</sub> hydrolase.

Group VII, claim(s) 21-24, drawn to methods of screening compounds that are complementary to LTA<sub>4</sub> hydrolase described by Table 9.

Group VIII, claim(s) 26-27, drawn to methods of engineering a protein that is an analogue of LTA<sub>4</sub> hydrolase.

Group IX, claim(s) 28, drawn to processes of purifying an LTA<sub>4</sub> hydrolase as set forth in Table

Page 4

Group X, claim(s) 28, drawn to processes of purifying an LTA<sub>4</sub> hydrolase analogue.

Group XI, claim(s) 29, drawn to methods of crystallization of an LTA<sub>4</sub> hydrolase.

Group XII, claim(s) 32-33, drawn to nucleic acids encoding LTA<sub>4</sub> hydrolase analogues.

Group XIII, claim(s) 34, drawn to use of LTA<sub>4</sub> hydrolase analogues in the preparation of LTB<sub>4</sub> or other metabolites in the leukotriene cascade.

4. The inventions listed as Groups I-XIII do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons.

The isolated protein that has at least a subsequence of an LTA<sub>4</sub> hydrolase and has the 3-D form essentially disclosed in Table 9 is the technical feature of the first Group. The form described in Table 9 is that of human LTA<sub>4</sub> hydrolase. Minami et al. (1988; see PTO-892) teach the purification of human LTA<sub>4</sub> hydrolase (see abstract and page 280, column 1). The human LTA<sub>4</sub> hydrolase purified by Minami et al. (1988) has an amino acid sequence which is identical to that in Table 9 of the instant application (see Minami et al. 1987; PTO-892). The threedimensional form of a protein is an inherent property that directly related to its amino acid sequence. Hence, the LTA<sub>4</sub> hydrolase taught by Minami et al. has both a subsequence of an LTA<sub>4</sub> hydrolase and the 3-D form essentially disclosed in Table 9. Thus, the technical feature of Group I is not a special technical feature because it does not define a contribution that the Group makes over the prior art. Without a special technical feature, the pending claims are restricted according to their substance as different products (Groups I, II, VI, XII and XIII), and their different categories (Groups III-V and VII-XI, method claims).

5. This application contains claims directed to three species of the generic invention VII.

These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a

The three species are as follows:

single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

- a) the general metallohydrolase inhibitor selected in claim 22;
- b) the epoxide hydrolase inhibitor selected in claim 23;
- c) the antagonist of an LTB<sub>4</sub> receptor selected in claim 24.

The following claim is generic: 21.

The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the species of each of claims 22-24 have different functions and are, thus, also expected to have different structures. The technical feature of each of claims 22-24 is different because the compound selected in each claim has distinct functional and structural characteristics. Therefore, the species of claims 22-24 do not share the same or corresponding special technical feature because they have different technical features.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

### Election

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lindsay Odell whose telephone number is 571-272-3445. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:00-5:30.

Application/Control Number: 09/914,451

Art Unit: 1656

Page 7

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kathleen Kerr can be reached on 571-272-0931. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

CATHLEEN KERR, PH.D.

COP

Lindsay Odell, Ph.D. July 11, 2005